# Want more insight about the SP8 exam? Check our: Mastering SP8: A Comprehensive Guide to Fundamental Concepts

### INTRODUCTION

Insurance	A financial arrangement in which participants make payments in exchange for a commitment to indemnify certain types of losses.
Reinsurance	An arrangement where insurer transfers away a part of the risk to another company (known as reinsurer).
Written Premium	The total amount of premium received from policies for which cover commenced during a specific accounting period.
Earned Premium	The portion of written premium for which coverage has already been provided during a specific accounting period.
Unearned Premium	The portion of written premium for which coverage has not yet been provided and is deemed to relate to cover in one or more subsequent accounting periods.
Incurred Claims	The total of all claims paid plus the total reserves for outstanding reported claims (the total reserves for outstanding claims are also called case estimates).
Claims Handling Expenses	The expenses incurred in handling and settling claims. Also known as 'loss adjustment expenses' in the US.
Written Exposure	The total exposure that arises during a period.
Earned Exposure	The portion of written exposure for which coverage has already been provided.
Technical Reserves	The reserves held to cover the liabilities relating to insurance policies already written.
Reserves for Outstanding Reported Claims	The estimated reserves needed to settle all the claims reported to the insurer at an accounting date.
IBNR Reserve	The estimated amount that is needed to cover the claims for which accident events have happened but not yet reported to the insurer at an accounting date.
IBNER Reserve	The estimated amount that is needed to cover the changes in estimates of reported claims as at an accounting date.
Unearned Premium Reserve	A retrospective reserve held for premiums that have not been earned yet as at an accounting date.
Unexpired Risk Reserve	A prospective reserve required to cover the claims and claims handling expenses expected to emerge from an unexpired period of cover.
Claims Equalisation Reserves	A reserve maintained to smooth out fluctuations in claims experience over time.
Free Reserves	The excess of the value of assets of an insurer over the sum of its technical provisions and current liabilities.
Reporting Delay	The time gap between occurrence of a claim event and reporting the same to the insurer.
Settlement Delay	The time gap between reporting of a claim to the insurer and its settlement to the insured.

Short-tail line	A line of business where claims are generally reported and settled quickly.
Long-tail line	A line of business where claims take time (years or even decades) to be reported, assessed and settled.
Underwriting Income	The income earned by the insurer from its core insurance operations, also known as operating income.
Investment Income	The income earned by the insurer from its investment portfolio.
Accident Year	An aggregation method where only the loss transactions relating to the loss events that occurred during that period are grouped together.
Calendar Year	An aggregation method where all premiums and loss transactions that occur during a twelve-month period are grouped together.
Underwriting Year	An aggregation method where all premiums and loss transactions on policies written during that period are grouped together.
Reporting Year	An aggregation method where only the loss transactions relating to the loss events that are reported during that period are grouped together.

# GENERAL INSURANCE PRODUCTS

Uberrima Fides	Principle of utmost good faith observed by parties of insurance contract.
Suretyship	Insurance to provide for the financial obligations of the insured, if the insured fails to do so.
Underinsurance	A situation when the sum insured is lower than the value of contents specified in insurance contract.
Discovery Period	A time limit within which claims must be reported to the insurer.
Deductible	An amount that the insured must pay before the insurer starts to pay.
Excess	Similar to deductibles, but does not reduce the maximum payout.
Salvage	Amount recovered from sale of insured items that become property of insurer after settling the claim.
Subrogation	An insurer, after paying claims, assumes insured's legal rights to recover the amount by third party.
Recoveries	Amount recovered to offset cost of claims. Includes salvage, subrogation, reinsurance recoveries, etc.
Lapse	A policy that when invited to renew, does not do so.
Cancellation	A cessation of the policy, which may involve partial return of premiums.
Underwriting	A process of assessing whether the risk is acceptable and, if so, determining the appropriate premium with the terms and conditions of the cover.
Claim Frequency	The number of claims in a period per unit of exposure.
Claim Severity	Average claim amount
Claims Made Policy	A policy that covers all claims reported to an insurer within the policy period irrespective of when the loss occurred.
Losses Occurring Policy	A policy that covers all losses that occurred within the policy period irrespective of when the loss is reported.

	SP8 Summary Sheet	3
Risk Attaching Policy	A basis under which reinsurance is provided for claims arising from policies com mencing during the period to which the reinsurance relates.	
Latent Claims	Claims that result from perils that the insurer is unaware of at the time of writin a policy.	
Probable Maximum Loss	Estimated largest loss expected to arise from a single event in respect of an insure property.	
Criteria for Insurable Risk	Criteria for a risk to be insurable:	
	<ul> <li>Interest in the risk being insured</li> <li>Risk must be financial and of quantifiable nature</li> <li>Claim amount having relationship with financial loss incurred</li> <li>Risk events to be independent of each other</li> <li>Probability of event occurring is very small</li> <li>Large number of similar risks to be pooled to reduce variance</li> <li>There must be overall limit on risk taken</li> <li>Moral hazards to be eliminated as much as possible</li> <li>Need of sufficient data to price risks</li> </ul>	
Policy Document	This sets out terms and conditions under which insurer is liable to pay claims. might include details of cover, excess, exclusions, details of premiums, etc.	Items
Exclusion	Exclusions are clauses that limit the circumstances in which a claim may be Examples include losses caused by terrorism, depreciation to motor vehicle, e	made. etc.
Claim Characteristics	This refers to ways in which and speed with which claims originate, are no settled, paid and reopened. They are defined by frequency, severity claims inf delay pattern, accumulation of risk and fraudulent claims.	otified, lation,
Rating Factors	Risk factor is any characteristic or measure that has influence on the likeliho severity of a claim. Rating factors are the proxies of risk factors used in price	ood or ing.
Exposure Measure	Measures that are used to quantify the level of risk underlying an insurance cov Examples include sum insured, vehicle-years, etc.	verage.
Capital Requirements	The amount of capital held is subject to minimum requirements if a company be allowed to continue to trade.	y is to
Lines of Business	<ul> <li>There are four main types of GI Covers under which products are sub categor.</li> <li>Liability Insurance</li> <li>Property Insurance</li> <li>Financial Loss Insurance</li> <li>Fixed Benefits Insurance</li> </ul>	orized.
Employer's Liability	This indemnifies the insured against legal liability for employee injury, disea death due to negligence during employment. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> Exposure measure used is <b>payroll</b> . Rating factors used are <b>type of occupa</b> workforce location, claims experience, site visitors and materials har	use, or basis. ation, ndled.
Motor Third Party	This covers the insured's liability for third-party injury or property damage of by their vehicle. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure u <b>vehicle-year</b> . Rating factors used are <b>driver experience</b> , <b>vehicle make/m</b> <b>safety features</b> , and <b>location</b> .	caused 1sed is 1odel,

Marine and Aviation Liability	This covers the insured's liability for third-party injury, death, or property damage arising from operating a vessel or aircraft. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure used are <b>passenger kilometers</b> , voyages, or in-service capacity. Rating factors used are loss experience, craft type, operating region, usage, and commercial category.
Public Liability	This indemnifies insured against liability for third-party injury or property dam- age not covered by other liability insurance. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring or</b> <b>claims-made</b> basis. Exposure measure is <b>turnover</b> . Rating factors used <b>depend</b> <b>on the policy</b> type and coverages offered.
Product Liability	This covers the insured's liability for third-party injury or property damage due to product faults. Basis of cover is <b>claims-made</b> basis. Exposure measure used is <b>turnover</b> . Rating factors include <b>distribution channel</b> , <b>product type</b> , <b>usage</b> , <b>and sales/manufacturing region</b> .
Professional Indemnity	This covers the insured's liability for client losses due to negligence in professional services. Basis of cover is <b>claims-made</b> basis. Exposure measure used is <b>turnover</b> . Key rating factors include <b>service type, firm size, and professional experience</b> .
Director's and Officer's Liability	This covers legal liability for wrongful acts committed by directors/officers, such as trading while insolvent or publishing false financial statements. It operates on a <b>claims-made</b> basis, with <b>turnover</b> as the exposure measure. Key rating factors include <b>company nature and directors' experience</b> .
Pollution Liability	This covers liability for unintentional pollution. It operates on a <b>claims-made</b> basis, with exposure depending on industry type. Key rating factors include <b>business processes, potential accident impact, and cleanup costs</b> .
Residential/Commercial Buildings	This policy covers damage to residential/commercial property from fire, theft, and other perils, paying for restoration costs minus any deductible. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure is <b>sum insured-years</b> . Key factors include <b>sum insured</b> , <b>location</b> , <b>property use</b> , <b>and building age</b> .
Moveable Property	This policy covers loss or damage to household contents due to fire, theft, or other perils, often as an extension of home insurance. Claims may be paid on a replacement value or new-for-old basis. It follows <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure is <b>sum insured-years</b> . Risk factors are similar to those for residential property insurance.
Motor Property	This policy covers third-party vehicle damage and losses to the insured's own vehicle due to fire, theft, or accidental damage. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure is <b>vehicle-years</b> . Key rating factors include <b>mileage</b> , <b>traffic</b> <b>density</b> , <b>driver skill</b> , <b>speed</b> , <b>vehicle durability</b> , <b>and theft risk</b> .
Marine and Aircraft	This policy covers loss or damage to aircraft, ships, or their contents, as defined in the Marine Insurance Act. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure is <b>sum-insured</b> . Key factors include <b>craft size</b> , <b>type</b> , <b>age</b> , <b>and the</b> <b>nature of the cargo</b> .
Goods in Transit	This policy covers loss or damage to goods in transit due to theft, damage, or other perils. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure is <b>consignment value</b> . Key factors include <b>transport mode</b> , <b>goods type</b> , <b>storage</b> , <b>transit duration</b> , <b>and warehouse time</b> .

	AC	ГЕХ	Learni	ng
~	1101		Dearm	- n

	_	
	-	-

Construction	This policy covers large construction and engineering projects, including damage, defects, or failure to complete. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure is <b>contract value</b> . Key factors include <b>project type</b> , <b>duration</b> , <b>contractor</b> , <b>materials</b> , <b>technology</b> , <b>and location</b> .
Extended Warranty	This policy covers repair or replacement costs for faulty parts beyond the manufacturer's warranty, usually for electronics, furniture, or vehicles. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure is <b>appliance-years</b> . Key factors include item <b>make/model</b> , <b>manufacturer's warranty length</b> , <b>and policy term</b> .
Fidelity Guarantee	This policy covers financial losses from employee dishonesty, such as fraud or em- bezzlement. It follows a <b>loss-occurring</b> basis, with exposure measured as the loan amount exceeding a set percentage of the property value.
Credit Insurance	This policy covers losses when debtors fail to meet their financial obligations. It includes trade credit and mortgage indemnity insurance. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure is the <b>loan amount</b> exceeding a set percentage of the property's value.
Creditor Insurance	This policy covers individuals who are unable to meet financial obligations due to disability or unemployment. Basis of cover is <b>loss-occurring</b> basis. Exposure measure is <b>loan amount</b> . Covered risks typically include accidents, disabilities, or job loss leading to income loss.
Business Interruption Cover	This policy compensates businesses for financial losses incurred due to their inabil- ity to operate, typically caused by fire at their premises or nearby properties. It operates on a <b>loss-occurring</b> basis, with <b>turnover</b> as the measure of exposure.
Legal Expense Cover	This insurance covers the insured for legal expenses arising from legal proceedings against them. Basis of cover is either a <b>loss-occurring or claims-made basis</b> . Measure of exposure is <b>policyholder-years</b> .
Personal Accident	This insurance pays fixed benefits if the insured (or their family) suffers accidental death or a specified injury, such as losing a limb. It operates on a <b>loss-occurring</b> basis, with <b>sum insured</b> as the measure of exposure. Key rating factors include <b>age, gender, and hazardous hobbies.</b>

### **REINSURANCE PRODUCTS**

Retrocession Inward Reinsurance	Reinsurance of reinsurance Reinsurance business accepted or written by a reinsurer
Outward Reinsurance	Reinsurance business ceded by an insurer
Participants in Reinsurance Markets Fronting	<ul> <li>Participants in reinsurance market include:</li> <li>1. brokers</li> <li>2. direct reinsurance placements</li> <li>3. fronting</li> <li>4. captives</li> <li>Fronting occurs when an insurer, acting as a mere conduit, underwrites a risk and</li> </ul>
	cedes all (or nearly all) of the risk to another insurer which is technically acting as a reinsurer.
Captives	An entity set up with the primary purpose of insuring the risks of its parent company or associated group companies.
Reinstatement	The restoration of full cover following a claim

	~	
1	-	
	- 1	
	-	

Overriding Commission	Additional commission paid by a reinsurer to an insurer ceding proportional busi- ness, as a contribution towards expenses and profit.
Profit Commission	A commission paid by the reinsurer to the insurer as a reward for underwriting a profitable business.
Reasons for purchasing Reinsurance	<ul> <li>Common reasons for purchasing reinsurance include:</li> <li>1. Limitation of exposure to risk or spreading of risk</li> <li>2. Avoidance of large single losses</li> <li>3. Smoothing of results</li> <li>4. Increasing profitability</li> <li>5. Improving solvency margins</li> <li>6. Increasing capacity to accept risk</li> <li>7. Financial assistance to insurers</li> <li>8. Availability of expertise of reinsurers</li> </ul>
Factors affecting Insurer's risk appetite	An insurer's risk appetite is influenced by its size, experience in marketplace, avail- able free assets, size of portfolio, and range within which business outcome may be forecast with confidence.
Ways of writing Reinsurance	Reinsurance may be written on facultative or treaty basis.
Facultative Reinsurance	Reinsurance of a single risk. Each risk on which reinsurance is required is offered separately.
	$\underline{\text{Advantages}} - \text{flexibility, mitigation of accumulation of risk, and selective coverage}$
	<u>Disadvantages</u> – time consuming, costly, no certainty, unacceptable terms, insurer can not accept business automatically when offered. A facultative reinsur- ance facility with an obligation placed on the reinsurer to accept is known as facultative-obligatory reinsurance
Treaty Reinsurance	Reinsurance of a group of similar risks under one reinsurance arrangement. It overcomes all disadvantages of facultative reinsurance.
Proportional Reinsurance	A reinsurance arrangement where the reinsurer and the cedant share claims and premiums proportionally. Types include <b>Quota Share</b> , and <b>Surplus Lines</b> .
Non-proportional Reinsurance	A reinsurance arrangement where the reinsurer and the cedant do not share claims and premiums proportionally. Types include <b>Excess of Loss and Stop Loss</b> <b>Reinsurance</b> .
Quota Share Reinsurance	Insurer and reinsurer share the same proportion of premiums and losses for all risks covered under the treaty. The cedant's loss ratio for the account will be same before and after reinsurance. Reinsurer would experience a similar claim experience as the cedant due to proportional share of claims.
	<u>Advantages</u> – spreads risk, improves solvency ratio, easy to administer
	$\underline{\frac{\text{Disadvantanges}}{\text{same proportion of low-variance/high-variance risk, cedes}}_{\text{same proportion irrespective of size and passes share of profit.}}$
Surplus Lines Reinsurance	Insurer decides the proportion of premiums and losses to be shared individually for each risk, subject to limits defined in the treaty. The insurer and reinsurer would have different claim experience.
	$\underline{\text{Advantages}}$ – enables to write large risk, spreads risk, useful when there is wide variation in size of risk, enables insurer to choose which risk to retain

	Disadvantages – administration is complicated, not suitable for personal lines and
	unlimited covers.
Excess of Loss Reinsurance	<ul> <li>The reinsurer indemnifies the cedant for the amount above a certain excess/attachment point and up to an upper limit/detachment point. Insurer and reinsurer would have different claims experience. There are three types of XOLs:</li> <li>Risk XL</li> <li>Aggregate XL</li> <li>Catastrophe XL</li> </ul>
	$\underline{\text{Advantages}} - \text{ allows to accept large risks, reduce risk of insolvency, stabilizes technical results, makes efficient use of capital.}$
	$\underline{\text{Disadvantages}}$ – harder to price, more complex than proportional covers
Stop Loss Reinsurance	An aggregate excess of loss reinsurance that provides protection based on the total claims, from all perils, arising in a class or classes over a period. The excess point and the upper limit are often expressed as a percentage of the cedant's premium income rather than in monetary terms.
Financial Reinsurance	A form of reinsurance involving less underwriting risk transfer and more investment risk/timing risk transfer from the cedant than in traditional reinsurance
Time & Distance Deals	A financial reinsurance arrangement whereby an insurer pays a single premium in return for a fixed schedule of future payments that match to the estimated liabilities of the insurer's claim outgo
Spread Loss Covers	Spread loss covers are arrangements where the insurer pays either annual or single premiums to the reinsurer to cover certain claims. These premiums, along with an agreed-upon interest, are accumulated in an experience account, which is settled at the conclusion of the multi-year agreement.
Financial Quota Shares	Financial quota share refers to an arrangement where commissions are provided primarily for financing purposes rather than for transferring risk.
Structured Finance	Reinsurers became involved in structured finance through their finite reinsurance business and the increasing need of financial guarantee insurers and investment banks for additional capacity.
Industry Loss Warranties	Provide reinsurance based on total industry losses from an event, rather than indi- vidual losses, with recovery triggered by the predefined industry loss threshold.
Run-off Reinsurance	Under such an arrangement, the 'book' is sold to the reinsurer who assumes all remaining premiums and all of the risk.
	<u>Adverse Development Cover</u> – A reinsurance arrangement whereby a reinsurer agrees, in return for a premium, to cover the ultimate settled amount of a specified block of business above a certain pre-agreed amount.
	<u>Loss Portfolio Transfer</u> – An arrangement where liabilities in respect of a specific book of business of an insurer is passed from one insurance entity to another.
Capital Market Products	<ul><li>Insurers sometimes transfer risk directly to capital markets rather than reinsurers.</li><li>Few capital market products are:</li><li>Contingent Capital</li><li>Insurance-linked securities</li></ul>

• Credit Securitization

	EXTERNAL ENVIRONMENT
Providers of Insurance	The major providers of insurance and reinsurance services are <b>direct companies</b> , reinsurers and self-insuring groups.
Distribution of Direct Business	<ul> <li>Insurance business may be obtained through:</li> <li>Intermediaries like brokers, agents and banks</li> <li>Staff employed by direct insurers</li> <li>Direct marketing channel like internet and telesales.</li> </ul>
Self-Insuring Groups	Self-insuring groups include captives, pools and P&I Clubs.
Captives	<ul> <li>An entity set up with the primary purpose of insuring the risks of its parent company or associated group companies. Reasons for setting a captive:</li> <li>Provide cover not available in traditional insurance market</li> <li>Control overall insurance expenses of large companies</li> <li>Enables corporate to buy cover for risk from reinsurers</li> <li>Ensures effective risk management</li> <li>Gain tax, legislative and regulatory advantages</li> </ul>
Pools	An arrangement where parties collectively share premiums and losses for certain types of specific insurance coverages.
Protection & Indemnity Clubs (P& I)	An association of ship owners to mutually cover certain types of marine risks.
The London Market	The international face-to-face insurance and reinsurance market based in the City of London. Participants in London Market include Lloyd's syndicates, subsidiaries of overseas (re)insurers, small reinsurance companies, self-insuring groups, companies owned by group of insurance and reinsurance companies.
Lloyds of London	Began in Edward Lloyd's coffee shop. Key participants include Names, Syndicates, Managing agents, Members agents, ILVs, and Lloyds brokers.
Names (Lloyds)	Members of Lloyds who provide capital to underwrite risks. Names may be indi- viduals or corporates.
Syndicates (Lloyds)	A group of Lloyd's Names who co-insure risks
Integrated Lloyds Vehicle (ILV)	Syndicates where the full participation is owned by insurance group companies
Lloyds Deposit	A deposit made by Lloyd's members with the Committee of Lloyds before they underwrite any risk
Lloyds Managing Agent	An entity responsible for managing a Lloyd's syndicate. They would provide un- derwriting, technical, and administrative services.
Lloyds Member Agent	An entity that represents the interests of a Lloyd's Names. They take the respon- sibility to introduce Names to various syndicates.
Subscription system of Lloyd	Business in London Market is written through subscription or slip system. The slip system is a mechanism used in the Lloyd's market where brokers prepare a "slip" that outlines the details of an insurance or reinsurance risk. This slip is then presented to underwriters in the Lloyd's market, who review the risk and indicate the proportion of the risk they are willing to accept.
Funded Accounting	A method of accounting where all claims, premiums and expenses are allocated to the underwriting year in which the policy incepts.

ACTEX Learning	SP8 Summary Sheet	9
One-Year Accounting	A method of accounting where all claims, premiums and expenses are alloca the accounting period, irrespective of when the policy incepts	ated to
Three-Year Accounting	A method of funded accounting where underwriting profits are only recognized after the end of third accounting year from the start of the underwriting year	
Reinsurance to Close (RITC)	An agreement for transfer of all outstanding liabilities of an underwriting year to reinsuring party	
Professional Standards	Actuaries should keep in mind all relevant professional and technical actuarial stan dards while determining a rate for an insurance coverage. These are issued by th IFoA and updated from time to time.	
Underwriting Cycle	A cycle of high and low insurance prices over a period of few years	
Soft Rates	Stage of an underwriting cycle when premiums and hence profitability is h the insurers in the market	igh for
Hard Rates	Stage of an underwriting cycle when premiums and hence profitability is low insurers in the market	for the
Regulations in General Insurance Reasons for Underwriting Cycle	<ul> <li>Regulations in General Insurance include:</li> <li>1. Conduction of external audit</li> <li>2. Restriction on type of business</li> <li>3. Limit on premium rate to be charged</li> <li>4. Restriction on using certain type of information</li> <li>5. Requirement to deposit assets to back claims</li> <li>6. Demonstration of solvency on regular basis</li> <li>7. Prescribed method to find premiums or reserves</li> <li>8. Restriction on key role holders</li> <li>9. License for agents selling insurance</li> <li>10. Pay levy to consumer protection bodies</li> <li>11. Protection of policyholders in case insurer fails to honour promises</li> <li>Underwriting cycle exists because:</li> <li>Low barriers to entry</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Delay until profitability of insurance business is known</li><li>Simplistic capital requirements</li><li>Economies of scale</li></ul>	
Economic Factors affecting Business Environment	Economic factors include those that affect insurance business due to chan the economy. Inflation, GDP growth, recession, expense inflation, etc. affe insurance market.	nges in ect the
Claims Inflation	<ul> <li>Claims inflation could be of following types:</li> <li>Price inflation</li> <li>Wage inflation</li> <li>Medical expense inflation</li> <li>Social inflation</li> <li>Courts awards inflation</li> </ul>	
Investment Income	Insurers invest premiums to earn income, with long-tailed lines benefiting Pricing includes investment loadings, considering asset-liability duration, lice solvency, and economic conditions like interest and inflation rates.	; more. quidity,
Currency Fluctuation	Currency rate fluctuations affect insurers who write business in more the currency or in a currency different then their home/domestic currency. Cu rate fluctuations might distort underwriting results.	an one urrency
Legal Factors	Legal factors include compensation awarded by court to the claimants. would be made due to negligence or breach of contract.	Claims

**\*** 

ACTEX Learning	SP8 Summary Sheet 10	
Court Awards	Court awards compensation depends on principle of indemnity. For property dam- age, compensation would be based on cost of the lost materials/things/property accounting for depreciation. For bodily injury, compensation depends on loss of income, medical costs, and compensation for pain and suffering.	
Legislative Factors	Changes in legislation would affect insurers and reinsurers. However, legislation takes time to draft and implement giving insurers some time before the legislation comes in effect. Legislation might make certain insurance coverages compulsory. It may have impact on litigation as well	
Social Factors – Attitudes of Society	<ul> <li>Attitudes of society that may impact insurance business are:</li> <li>Drink and driving</li> <li>Crime rates</li> <li>Attitude of people towards insurance</li> <li>Organization encouraging placing of claims</li> <li>Staged accidents</li> </ul>	
Environmental Factors – Weather	<ul> <li>Weather factors have impact on frequency and severity of claims. These factors include:</li> <li>Seasonality</li> <li>Subsidence and Land Heave</li> <li>Geography</li> <li>Global Warming</li> <li>Climate Change</li> </ul>	
Catastrophes	A catastrophe is a single event that can give rise to large aggregation of claims. Catastrophes can be natural or man-made.	
Latent Claims	Latent claims originate form perils that were not known when the policy was written. It could also mean claims that come into notice only after some years of the cause of loss. Examples of these are asbestos, agent orange, benzene, radiation from mobiles, guns, nanotechnology, etc.	
Technological Factors	Changes in the technological space have helped insurers and reinsurers in all areas of their functioning, which lead to increasing efficiencies in insurance operations.	

# **RISK & UNCERTAINTY**

Types of Uncertainty	Important types of uncertainties faced by general insurance company are:
	<ul> <li>Process Uncertainty</li> <li>Parameter Uncertainty</li> <li>Data Uncertainty</li> <li>Market Conditions Uncertainty</li> </ul>
Process Uncertainty	The risk inherent in writing business, settling claims and other general operations in general insurance. Process uncertainty can have effect on the cost of claims as well as the other functions of an insurance company. Process uncertainty stems from external as well as internal sources.
External Sources of Process Uncertainty	<ul> <li>The external sources of process uncertainty include:</li> <li>Inherent Uncertainty individual claims</li> <li>Changes in development pattern</li> <li>Demand surge</li> <li>Climate Change</li> <li>Third Party Behavior</li> <li>Legislative Changes</li> </ul>

• Economic Conditions

ACTEX Learning	SP8 Summary Sheet 11
Internal Sources of Process Uncertainty	<ul> <li>The internal sources of process uncertainty include:</li> <li>Changes in business mix</li> <li>New Markets</li> <li>New Distribution Channels</li> <li>Changes in claims handling process</li> <li>Profit Sharing Arrangements</li> </ul>
Effect of Process Uncertainty on other functions of business	Process Uncertainty would impact not just claims but other areas of business as well. Few examples are as follows:• Aggregators• Competition• Off-shoring• Insurance Cycle• Investments• Expense Uncertainty
Parameter Uncertainty	The risk that an inappropriate parameter has been used in the model. Parameter uncertainty stems from: <ul> <li>Uncertainty from data used</li> <li>Uncertainty from format of data</li> <li>Exceptional claims</li> <li>Changes in reserving philosophy</li> <li>Claims inflation</li> <li>New distribution channels</li> </ul>
Model Uncertainty	<ul> <li>The risk of using a model that is not appropriate for the purpose. Model uncertainty stems from</li> <li>Model error</li> <li>Programming error</li> <li>Incorrect distributional assumptions</li> </ul>
Sources of Data	DATA USED IN PRICING         The data used for pricing can come from two main sources:         Internal Sources       own historical data of premiums and claims         External Sources       data from industry wide sources
Industry-wide Data Collection Schemes	Industry-wide collection schemes collect data from their member offices and then make summaries of this data available to all their members.

Merits: Advantages of industry-wide data collection schemes are:

- 1. Helps compare insurer's experience with that of industry
- 2. Helps to understand competitor's business
- 3. Benchmark development factors may be obtained for reserving

**Demerits**: Advantages of industry-wide data collection schemes:

- 1. Potential for distortion owing to heterogeneity
- 2. Data less flexible than internal data
- 3. Data might be out of date
- 4. Not all companies contribute to the scheme
- 5. Quality of data depends on quality of data supplied by members
- 6. Data provided by companies may not be comparable because of differences in geographies, policies sold, practices, data stored.

The availability of quantity and quality of data varies:

• within organizations

Factors affecting Quality and

Quantity of Data

- between organizations
- between different classes of business

Variation within Organization	Quality of data varies within organization due to different distribution channels Insurers write business through brokers, agents or directly with customers. This affects quantity and quality of data.	
Variation between	Following factors affect the quality of data between organizations:	
Organizations	<ul><li>Size and age of the com</li><li>Legacy systems</li><li>Integrity of systems</li></ul>	<ul><li>Management &amp; Staff</li><li>Nature of organization</li></ul>
Variation by	Following factors affect the qu	ality of data between lines of business:
Line of Business	<ul><li>Claim frequency</li><li>Length of tails</li><li>Underwriting</li></ul>	
Uses and Users of Data	The uses and users of data ma	y be summarized as below:
	Uses (Functions)	Users (Professionals involved)
	Administration	Management, Claims Adjusters
	Accounting	Accountant
	Statutory Returns	Actuary, Management
	Investments	Investment Professionals
	Management Information	Senior Management
	Risk Management	Risk Team, Actuary, Reinsurance
	Pricing	Underwriters, Actuary
	Reserving	Claims Adjusters, Actuary
	Capital Modelling	Actuary
	Marketing	Marketing Staff
	Experience Statistics	Actuary, CAT team
Information Systems	An insurer/reinsurer would be required to develop robust information systems is order to gather, input, store and manage data effectively.	
Proposal Form	Proposal form provides information regarding the policyholder and is a prime in formation source. Common items on proposal form include details of the insured estimated sum insured, risk factors based on the class of business, excess or de ductibles, etc. Questions on this form should also be unambiguous, designed wel and adequate.	
Claims Form	Claim form will be the main source of information for claim related details regardin a policy.	
Features of Premium Information	Important features of premium that should be recorded are:         • Written and signed amounts       • Commissions & Discounts         • Payment dates       • Cross-selling         • Premium adjustments       • Cross-selling	
Features of Claim Information	Important features of claim in	formation that must be recorded are:
	<ul> <li>Definition of claims</li> <li>Estimated outstanding a</li> <li>Multiple claim payment</li> <li>Reopened claims</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Claims handling expenses</li> <li>Riensurance recoveries</li> <li>Class-level adjustments</li> </ul>

Policy Data required	For <b>direct insurance &amp; facultative reinsurance</b> , we need the following data:		
for Pricing	<ol> <li>Dates on cover</li> <li>Policy limits</li> <li>Excess</li> <li>Company's share of total risk</li> <li>Rating factors</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>6. Details of premium charged</li> <li>7. Type of coverage</li> <li>8. Exclusions</li> <li>9. Policy number linked to claims information</li> </ol>	
	For <b>treaty reinsurance</b> , we would also n	eed the following information:	
Claims Data required	<ol> <li>Type of reinsurance</li> <li>Basis of cover</li> <li>Treaty limits</li> <li>Aggregate limits and Excess points</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Reinstatement premiums</li> <li>Treaty terms</li> <li>Reinsurer's share of loss or limit</li> </ol>	
for Pricing	<ul> <li>Date of claim event</li> <li>Status of claim</li> <li>Date closed</li> <li>Date reported</li> <li>Date, amount of claims payments</li> <li>Payment type</li> <li>Outstanding claim payments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Currency of claim payments</li> <li>Rating factor details</li> <li>Type of claim</li> <li>Type of peril</li> <li>Policy number to link with policy information</li> <li>Unique claim identifier</li> </ul>	
Sources of Data Error	Few potential sources of data error are:	I THE FREE CONTRACTOR	
	<ul><li>Wrong claim number</li><li>Wrong policy number</li><li>Wrong risk details</li></ul>	<ul><li>Wrong claim date</li><li>Wrong payment dates</li><li>Wrong claim type</li></ul>	
Sources of Data Distortion	Few potential sources of data distortion are:		
	<ul> <li>Changes in claim handling proce- dure</li> <li>Case estimates</li> <li>Processing delays</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Large claims</li><li>Return premiums</li><li>Claims inflation</li></ul>	
Prevention of Errors	Few measures to ensure prevention of error	rs are:	
	<ol> <li>Data inputs should be checked and</li> <li>Ensure consistency in practices over</li> <li>Digits entered should be checked</li> <li>Minimum and maximum values to b</li> <li>Train staff and employees before the</li> </ol>	screened • time to minimize errors be checked ey handle data systems	
Effect of Inadequate Data	The impact of inadequate data on pricing	include:	
on Pricing	<ol> <li>Wrong premium rate being charged</li> <li>Errors in apparent risk in force</li> <li>Errors in apparent claims experience</li> <li>True distributions of business betwee</li> <li>Underwriting losses if rates are too</li> <li>Decrease in market share is rates to</li> <li>Adverse selection risks</li> </ol>	e een different risk groups distort low o high	
Pricing with Limited Data	When data is limited, following methods c	ould be used:	
	<ol> <li>Use of other data</li> <li>Margins</li> <li>Use of ILFs</li> <li>Qualitative data</li> </ol>		

A	CTUARIAL INVESTIGATIONS
Premium Rate Analyses	<ul> <li>In order to analyse the premium rates, we follow the steps as below:</li> <li>1. Project ultimate claim costs using projection techniques</li> <li>2. Compare actual vs expected claims, adjusting for abnormalities</li> <li>3. Project future experience. Factor in uncertainties and future trend assumptions</li> <li>4. Evaluate the rating structure. Use one-way, two-way, or multivariate rating models</li> <li>5. Compare final rates with external market data</li> <li>6. Modify rates based on long-term customer value</li> <li>7. Reassess past profitability using updated premium rates</li> </ul>
Expense Analysis	<ul> <li>Expense analysis is important for following reasons:</li> <li>Helps allocate expenses correctly between different groups</li> <li>Provide useful insights into insurer's financial plans</li> <li>Provide information to management</li> <li>Provides information to be shown in statutory returns</li> <li>We divide expenses into Direct v/s Indirect Expenses and Fixed v/s Variable Expenses. After this, expenses must be split in homogeneous cells.</li> </ul>
Direct Expenses	Expenses that can be allocated to specific policies, whether new business acquisition or administration of business already written.
Indirect Expenses	Expenses that relate to general management and service departments
Fixed Expenses	Expenses that do not vary with amount or volume of business written
Variable Expenses	Expenses that vary with the volume of business written
Types of expenses	<ul> <li>Expenses other than commission can be split into:</li> <li>Initial Expenses</li> <li>Administration Expenses</li> <li>Renewal Expenses</li> <li>Claims Expenses</li> <li>Investment Expenses</li> </ul>
Treatment of Certain Expenses	It is difficult to split certain expenses into required cells because it is not easy to identify which cell they belong to. Hence, approximate methods are used to get the split. Examples of how few expenses could be split are given below:
	Salaries – Salaries are fixed in the short run but variable long-term, allocated directly for single-cell employees, split via timesheets for multi-cell employees, and classified as direct or indirect for admin staff.
	<b>Property Costs</b> – Charge actual/notional rent, allocate based on floor space and salary proportions.
	<b>Computer Costs</b> – Distribute expenses based on departmental computer usage.
	<b>Investment Costs</b> – Deduct investment expenses from expected return
	<u>Capital Costs</u> – Amortize one-off costs over product life. <u>Claims Handling Costs</u> – Allocate direct costs to specific cells; distribute indirect costs by claim volume.

<b>Reasons for Monitoring</b>	The main reasons for monitoring business are:	
Business	• Assessing performance	
	• Risk management	
	• Market intelligence	
	• Regulatory requirements	
	• Influencing the market	
	Reserving	
	Actuarial Control Cycle	
Featons to be Manitoned	An incurren monda to monitor the following factors:	
Factors to be Monitored	An insurer needs to monitor the following factors:	
	1. Premium rate changes	
	2. Portfolio Movements	
	3. Volumes of Quotation	
	4. Persistency & Profitability by Source	
Portfolio Movements	Portfolio movements refer to changes in underlying risks of the business written. It	
	is important to monitor movements to manage volume and mix of business, manage	
	cross subsidy, and manage the growth of business. Portfolio movements can be in	
	terms of:	
	• Lapses or renewals	
	• New volumes	
	• Strike Rates	
	• Cancellations	
	• Endorsements	
	• Mix of business	
Premium Rate Changes	Premium rate change could be defined as:	
	Dromium Pato	
	Rate Change <sub><math>t_1 \rightarrow t_2</math></sub> = $\frac{1}{\text{Premium Rate}_{t_2}} - 1$	
	Mothods to calculate promium rate changes are:	
	D: A l l l :	
	• Direct calculation	
	• Price of a standard risk	
	• Underwriter's view of rate change	
	• Rate change at renewal - the formula for this:	
	$\sum \mathbf{Premium}_{t_2}$	
	where where $\mathbf{Rate Change}_{t_1 \to t_2} = \frac{1}{\sum \mathbf{As-if Premium}_{t_1}} - 1$	
	where	
	$\textbf{As-if Premium}_{t_1} = \textbf{Premium}_{t_1} \times \frac{\textbf{ILF}@Lim_{t_2} - \textbf{ILF}@Attach_{t_2}}{\textbf{ILF}@Lim_{t_1} - \textbf{ILF}@Attach_{t_1}} \times \frac{\textbf{Share}_{t_2}}{\textbf{Share}_{t_1}} \times \frac{\textbf{Exp}_{t_2}}{\textbf{Exp}_{t_1}}$	
Lapses/Renewals	Lapse rate is the ratio of number of policies lapsed to the total number of policies invited for renewal. Renewal rate is the complement of this.	
New Volumes	New business rate can be measured in similar way as lapse rate. Instead of using	
	an exposure measure in denominator, we use the same number of policies invited	
	for renewals.	
Staller Dates		
Strike Kates	The ratio of number of written policies to number of quoted policies in a given	
	period. Also called conversion rate.	
Cancellation Rate	The ratio of cancelled policies to the number of policies invited for renewal in a particular period.	

**Collective Risk Models** 

16

Endorsements	Endorsements are changes made to a policy due to changes in the underlying risk. Endorsement rate is defined as the ratio of no. of endorsements during a period to no. of policies exposed for the same period.	
Mix of Business	Change in mix of business may result in change of profitability levels.	
Volume of Quotation	An insurer would track the changes in number of quoted policies over time. This would help to assess the success of marketing campaigns.	
Persistency and Profitability by Source	Insurers would measure profitability by branch, broker and direct business. This helps to understand which source provides better quality and long-lasting business.	
Use of Actuarial Investigations	<ol> <li>The results of actuarial investigations are used for:</li> <li>Carrying profit testing exercise</li> <li>Estimating price elasticity curves</li> <li>Creating lifetime pricing models</li> <li>Redesigning rating tariffs</li> <li>Deciding reinsurance needs</li> <li>Feeding in other process like capital modelling</li> </ol>	
Features of systems to monitor Business	<ul> <li>Desirable features of a system for monitoring business include:</li> <li>1. Output must be concise and tailored</li> <li>2. Data must be reliable and validated</li> <li>3. Calculations must be valid</li> <li>4. Results must be validated</li> <li>5. Data must be validated</li> <li>5. Data must be easy to collect</li> <li>6. Calculations must not be complex</li> <li>7. Systems must be documented and low maintenance</li> <li>8. Results must be clear and easy to interpret</li> <li>9. Output must be consistent over time</li> <li>10. Inputs must be consistent with other data sources</li> <li>11. Outputs must be consistent with other analyses</li> <li>12. There must be minimum delay between data cut-off date and production of results.</li> </ul>	
	RISK MODELS	

Individual Risk ModelsIndividual Risk Model considers a fixed number of risks in a portfolio. Assumptions of this model are:

- 1. Risks are independent
- 2. Claim amounts are not necessarily identically distributed
- 3. Number of risks do not change over time
- 4. There can not be more than one claim for each risk

Assumptions of this model are:

- 1. Claim amounts  $X_i$  are independent and identically distributed
- 2. Claim amounts  $X_i$  and random variable for claim number N are independent of each other

Total claim amount, S, payable during a specified period is given by:

$$S = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \ldots + X_N$$

where  $X_i$  is claim amount in respect of *i*th claim and N (random variable) is number of claims during the period. Mean of S:

$$E(S) = E(X)E(N)$$

Variance of S:

$$\operatorname{Var}(S) = E(N)\operatorname{Var}(X) + [E(X)]^{2}\operatorname{Var}(N)$$

 $\underline{\mathbf{MGF of } S}:$ 

$$M_S(t) = M_N[\log M_X(t)]$$

Recursive formula for G(x)

The recursive formula for the probability of r number of claims, i.e., P[N = r] is given by:

$$P[N=r] = p_r = \left(a + \frac{b}{r}\right) p_{r-1}$$
 for  $r = 1, 2, 3, ...$ 

The recursive formula for the probability of r aggregate claim amount, i.e., P[S=r] is given by:

$$P[S=r] = g_r = \sum_{j=1}^r \left(a + \frac{bj}{r}\right) f_j g_{r-j} \text{ for } r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

where:

- a & b are constants that depend on the claim frequency distribution N; and
- $f_j = P[X_i = j]$
- $g_0 = p_0$

Normal Approximation to G(x) When E(N) is large, we may assume that S follows a Normal Distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Therefore,

$$G(x) = P[X \le x] = P\left[\frac{S-\mu}{\sigma} \le \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right] \approx \Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

We may estimate  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  as:

$$\mu = E[S] = E[N]E[X],$$
  
$$\sigma^{2} = E[N]\operatorname{Var}[X] + [E(X)]^{2}\operatorname{Var}[N].$$

Translated Gamma Approximation to G(x) We equate means, variances and coefficient of skewness of S and k + Y, we get:

$$\mu = k + \frac{\alpha}{\delta},$$
$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\alpha}{\delta^2},$$
$$\beta = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\alpha}}.$$

From the above three equations, we estimate  $k, \alpha$  and  $\delta$  from the known values of  $\mu, \sigma^2$  and  $\beta$  which are mean, variance and coefficient of skewness of S.

**Stochastic Simulation** 

Stochastic simulations may be used to approximate a distribution for aggregate claims, i.e., G(x). This requires to simulate number of claims.

### **RATING METHODOLOGIES**

Categories of Pricing	The General Insurance Premium Rating Iss five categories of pricing:	ues Working Party (GRIP) has outlined
Components of Pricing	<ul> <li>Tariff - Regulators influencing prem</li> <li>Qualitative - Rates based on subject</li> <li>Cost plus - Rates based on statistict</li> <li>Distribution - Rates based on non-</li> <li>Industrial - Rates based on operation</li> </ul>	ium rates etive factors eal methods cost elements onal efficiency components:
	Risk Premium – consists of pure risk rat	e and loading for CAT and large loss
	<b>Office Premium</b> – cost of reinsurance, et come	xpenses, cost of capital, investment in-
	Other Considerations – rating factors, j	practical considerations
Pure Risk Premium	Pure risk premium may be estimated using	following steps:
	<ol> <li>collection of relevant data</li> <li>adjusting and grouping of data</li> <li>selection of appropriate rating model</li> <li>analysing the data</li> <li>setting assumptions required by the</li> <li>testing the assumptions for goodness</li> <li>running the model to arrive at an est</li> <li>performing sensitivity and scenario to</li> </ol>	model of fit timate of cost of claim esting
Homogeneity of Data	Data needs to be grouped into homogeneous cells where risks in each cell r	
	<ul> <li>avoiding unintentional cross-subsidie</li> <li>profitability will not depend on cross</li> <li>gain market acceptance when launch</li> </ul>	s section of risks ing particular risk division
Trending Data	Past data is subject to change over time due	e to various reasons. Past data needs to
	<ul> <li>be trended for:</li> <li>Unusual base experience</li> <li>Trends in claim experience</li> <li>Inflation</li> <li>Changes in mix of risks</li> <li>Changes in distribution meth</li> <li>Changes in coverage</li> <li>Changes in claim settlement procedure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Changes in underwriting</li> <li>Changes in perils covered</li> <li>Changes in self-retention limits</li> <li>Changes in legislative factors</li> <li>Advancement in tech</li> <li>Medical advances</li> <li>Changes in construction of property</li> </ul>
Projecting Claims & Exposure	To arrive at risk premium, we divide project	ted claims by projected exposure values.
	Projecting Claims – For projecting claims, and trends. Then claims need to be p	we first project claims with adjustments projected to ultimate.
	<u>Projecting Exposure</u> – When exposure unit exposure values should be projected us may differ from the rate applied to cl extend to the midpoint of the exposure	s are measured in monetary terms, base sing an appropriate inflation rate, which laim costs. This projection should only re period for the new rates.

Catastrophe and Large Claims Loading	<ul> <li>When analysing claims, we separate attritional claims from large and catastrophe claims. For catastrophe losses, we estimate their cost from a proprietary catastrophe model. For non-catastrophe large losses, cost can be estimated based on: <ul> <li>omitting them from the analysis and allowing separately in risk premium</li> <li>truncate large loss to a certain point and spread any cost above this level across large portfolio of risks</li> <li>leave large loss in claims data and conduct analysis</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
Office Premium	Office premium is the risk premium adjusted for cost of reinsurance, expenses, profit loading, and investment income.	
Cost of Reinsurance	Reinsurance premium can be incorporated in two ways:	
	<ol> <li>as net cost of reinsurance based on gross risk premium</li> <li>as gross cost of reinsurance based on net risk premium</li> </ol>	
Expense Loading	Commissions, expenses and other margins may be incorporated by either <b>adding</b> <b>an overall percentage</b> or <b>splitting fixed and variable expenses</b> .	
Profit Loading	In order to give a reasonable return to shareholders or capital providers, profit loading would also be added to premium rates.	
Investment Income	Investment income is expected to be earned on the premium received by the insurer. This would also be allowed for in the premium rate. This can be done by discounting cost of claims and expenses at a suitable rate of interest to the date when premium is paid.	
Other Considerations in Rating	After arriving at office premium, we consider the rating factors and practical con- siderations.	
Rating Factors	The rigor of underwriting depends on class of business. For <b>personal lines</b> , underwriting is completely based on the rating factors. For <b>commercial lines</b> , like commercial fire, underwriting is a skill job based on reports.	
Conditions of Good Rating Factors	<ul> <li>A good rating factor should satisfy the following conditions:</li> <li>defines the risk clearly</li> <li>does not correlate too closely with other factors</li> <li>are practical to obtain and record</li> <li>are objective</li> <li>are factual and verifiable</li> <li>are acceptable to policyholder</li> <li>are based on current factors used in the market</li> </ul>	
Selection of Rating Factors	To select rating factors, we perform ANOVA exercise. This includes:	
	One-way analysis – amount of variability explained by each factor	
	$\underline{\text{Two-way analysis}}$ – each factor is investigated along with correlation with others	
	$\frac{\text{Multivariate analysis}}{\text{for all factors and correlations.}}$	
Practical Considerations	The actual premium charged may be different from the theoretical office premium due to following factors:• Business Objectives• Market acceptability• Competitive Pressures• Renewal process• Difficulty in establishing price• Use of no-claims discounts• Insurance cycle• Profit optimization	

44 C

#### Other Influences on Pricing

Other influences include:

- Competition and the need to maintain or build market share
- Availability of capital to support new business
- Impact of reinsurance capacity
- Sophistication of sales and quotes system
- Demand of regulators
- Relationship with brokers and distributors
- Differences between "direct" approach and traditional routes

### FREQUENCY-SEVERITY & BURNING COST RATING

From the Ground Up (FGU)	A statement that details insurer's claim experience is said to be "from the ground up" when it includes the number and distribution of all claims, irrespective of the size.	
Aggregate Deductible	The maximum amount that the insurer is responsible for paying when all losses are combined.	
Non-Ranking Deductible	The portion of deductible applied to each individual loss that does not contribut to insurer's aggregate deductible.	
Ranking Deductible	The portion of deductible applied to each individual loss that contributes to the insurer's aggregate deductible.	
Trailing Deductible	The amount that insured retains for each individual loss after the aggregate de- ductible has been completely exhausted.	
Per Occurrence Limit	The maximum amount that the insurer would retain for each loss	
Annual Aggregate Limit	The maximum amount the insurer will cover for all losses arising during a one-year policy period.	
Frequency-Severity Approach	This method estimates claim frequency and severities separately and then combines them to estimate the expected cost of claims. <u>Advantages</u> – mirrors the underlying process, complex structures may be priced, helps identify trends, additional insights into loss amounts.	
	$\underline{ \mbox{Disadvantages}}_{\mbox{high level of expertise.}} - \mbox{data requirements are onerous, time-consuming process, requires high level of expertise.}$	
Data Requirements for F-S Approach	There could be issues in data with regards to form, consistency and choice of base period. In addition to data requirements discussed in Chapter 6, following data would be needed:	
	<ol> <li>Submission Documents</li> <li>Exposure Information</li> <li>Individual Claim Information</li> </ol>	
Trending for F-S Approach	Trends need to be applied separately for frequency and severity under F-S method. While trending, we must:	
	<ul> <li>Project historical frequency and severity in line with trends assumed to current values; and</li> <li>Project them to the mid-point of future exposure period</li> </ul>	

Frequency Trends	Causes of frequency trends include changes in:	
Frequency Trends	Causes of frequency trends include changes in:	
	<ul> <li>Accident frequency</li> <li>Proposity to make claims due to social inflation</li> </ul>	
	Legislation	
	Structure of risk	
	Frequency is calculated as:	
	${f Frequency}=rac{{f Ultimate\ number\ of\ losses}}{{f Exposure\ measure}}$	
Severity Trends	Causes of severity trends include:	
	• Economic inflation	
	• Economic conditions	
	• Changes in court awards	
	• Changes to structure of risk	
	Severity is calculated as:	
	Ultimate cost of loss	
	$\mathbf{Severity} = rac{\mathbf{Ultimate number of losses}}{\mathbf{Ultimate number of losses}}$	
Developing Losses in	After adjusting individual losses for trends, it is important to project them to their	
F-S Approach	ultimate levels since past claims may not be fully developed. Methods that may be	
	used to develop losses include:	
	1. Applying an incurred development factor to each individual loss	
	2. Developing only open claims using "case estimate" claims ratio	
	3. Estimating IBNR based on known losses from a cohort of claims	
	4. Using stochastic development methods to consider variation in individual ultimate loss amounts	
Fitting Distributions in F-S	After adjusting the historical data for trends, and developing individual losses, we fit	
Approach	frequency and severity distributions. The stages involved in fitting the distributions	
	are:	
	Stage I: Choice of base period – Older policy years give reliable but less relevant	
	estimates, so we may exclude recent years, exclude underdeveloped years, or	
	weight developed years more.	
	Stage II: Choice of distribution – Common distribution used for claim severity are	
	Log-Normal, Weibull, Pareto, Gamma, Generalized Pareto. Distributions	
	used for claim frequency are Poisson and Negative Binomial.	
	Stage III: Parameter estimation – Parameter may be estimated using method of	
	moments, least square estimates or maximum likelihood estimates	
	$\underline{\text{Stage IV: Testing Fit}} - \text{The fit must be checked using statistical methods.}$	
K-S GoF and A-D GoF tests	Kolmogorov-Smirnov GoF – This test checks if a given sample comes from a spec-	
	ified distribution by comparing the empirical distribution of the sample to	
	expected cumulative distribution.	
	Anderson-Darling GoF – This test is similar to K-S GoF, where the test statistics	
	measures the difference between empirical distribution of the sample and CDF	
	of assumed distribution. However, the A-D GoF test places more weight on	
	differences in tails of the distribution. The A-D GoF test is more sensitive to	

deviations in the tails and more powerful than K-S GoF.

22

Burning Cost Approach	Burning cost is the cost of claims expressed as an annual rate per unit of exposure.
	$\underline{\text{Advantages}}$ – simple, less data onerous, quicker, experience of individual risk or portfolio of risk can be allowed for
	$\underline{\mbox{Disadvantages}}$ – difficult to spot trends, making adjustments is difficult, crude approach.
Data Requirements for Burning Cost Approach	In addition to data requirements discussed in Chapter 6, policy data and estimated outstanding claim amounts need to be known.
Burning Cost Premium	Premium is given by:
	Burning Cost Premium = $\frac{\sum \text{Claims}}{\text{Total Exposure}}$
Trending in Burning Cost Approach	Exposure and claim amounts need to be projected to current levels. Different approaches may be used to trend past data.
Developing Losses in Burning Cost Approach	Without individual loss data, assumptions will be less detailed than in the frequency-severity method. IBNR factors are typically derived from individual risk data or aggregated business results, with adjustments for inflation and insights from recent reserving exercises if relevant.

### RATING USING ORIGINAL LOSS CURVES

Introduction	Original Loss Curves are used in general insurance to price layers at which data is too sparse to derive a premium rate.
Types of Curves	The most used forms of original loss curves are: $\underline{\text{Exposure Curves}} - \text{These curves represent the proportion of total premium allo- cated to different primary coverage limits, or deductibles.}$
	<u>Excess of Loss Scales</u> – They are like exposure curves; except they give proportion of premium to be allocated to excess layers rather than primary layers.
	<u>Increased Limit Factors</u> – They are a table of multiplicative factors giving ratio of premium for higher limits to basic limit premium.
Properties of Original Loss Curves	If: • X is a random variable representing severity • $F_X(x)$ is CDF of X • $S_X(x) = 1 - F_X(x)$ • $LEV_X(x)$ is the Limited Expected Value distribution of X We have, $LEV'_X(x) = S_X(x)$
	Hence, the properties of loss curves are:

- LEV(x) is an increasing function
- It increases at a decreasing rate since S(x) is non-increasing

SP8 Summary Sheet

23

Property Business -Use of Exposure Curves

**Relative Loss Distribution** 

Steps in Property XL

using Exposure Curves

They are defined as:

$$G(x) = \frac{LEV_Y(x)}{E[Y]}$$

where Y is a random variable that represents size of loss (X) as a proportion to measure of risk (M). Hence,  $Y = \frac{X}{M}$ . G(x) is a curve that represents the ratio of total claims cost up to a specific point x to the overall claims cost, where x is selected proportion of maximum claim. Steepness of G(x) would depend on the severity of underlying loss distribution. Exposure curves may be used to price property XL treaty having a structure L excess of D, using following formula:

$$C_L = C \times \left( G\left(\frac{L+D}{M}\right) - G\left(\frac{D}{M}\right) \right)$$

where

- $C_L$  is the claim cost to the layer priced
- C is the ground-up loss cost

The relative loss size distribution is given by random variable  $Y = \frac{X}{M}$ . An important assumption underlying exposure curves is that Y is independent of size of risk. However, this assumption holds true only when data is homogeneous.

Steps involved in pricing a property excess of loss treaty include:

- 1. Gather original premium and sum insured data categorized in bands
- 2. Estimate a representative sum insured for each band
- 3. Estimate an original loss ratio
- 4. Adjust treaty limits for inflation and trends
- 5. Choose an appropriate **exposure curve** for the line of business
- 6. Express attachment and detachment points of the layer as a proportion of sum insured  $(Y_1 \text{ and } Y_2)$
- 7. Determine  $G(Y_1)$  and  $G(Y_2)$  from selected exposure curve
- 8. Compute loss cost to layer using  $G(Y_2) G(Y_1)$
- 9. Derive loss rate as total loss cost to layer divided by total premiums

Following considerations need to be accounted for:

- Homogeneity of Data
- Claims Inflation
- Choice of Exposure Curve
- Estimation of Original Loss Curve
- Original Deductibles
- Inuring Reinsurance
- Stacked Limits

Steps in deriving exposure curves include:

- 1. Collect claims data regarding amount paid, risk size, cause of loss
- 2. Each claim is expressed as percentage of risk size
- 3. Data to be grouped in homogeneous groups based on perils
- 4. Construct a table of losses as a percentage of risk (x%). We find total value of losses less than or equal to x% of PML (Let this be B). Then, we calculate first x% of losses greater than x% of PML (Let this be C).
- 5. Find total accumulated loss costs as sum of B and C.
- 6. Finally, empirical exposure curve is found as the ratio of (B + C) to B.
- 7. Combine groups for which there is no significant difference
- 8. Smoothen the empirical curves

www.ACTEXLearning.com Need Help? Email support@actexlearning.com Copyright © ArchiMedia Advantage Inc. All Rights Reserved

2. Estimate a 3. Estimate a 4. Adjust tre 5. Choose an 6. Express at of sum in 7. Determine 8. Compute l 9. Derive los Considerations in Exposure Curves 4. Adjust tre 5. Choose an 6. Express at of sum in 7. Determine 8. Compute l 9. Derive los Following considerations in Exposure Curves

Deriving Exposure Curves

SP8 Summary Sheet

24

**Casualty Business** 

**Steps in Casualty** 

XL using ILFs

ILFs are used in casualty rating due to no upper limit on loss amounts.

$$ILF(x) = \frac{LEV_X(x)}{LEV_X(b)}$$

ILFs may be used to price casualty XL treaty having a structure L excess of D, using following formula:

$$C_L = C_b \times (ILF(L+D) - ILF(D))$$

where:

- $C_L$  is the cost to the layer priced
- $C_b$  is the basic limit loss cost

We may follow the same steps to price casualty XL treaty as used with exposure curves when pricing property XL, however, with following differences:

• For casualty XL pricing, we estimate loss cost for original limit as a starting point. This is given by:

 $C_I =$ Original Limit Loss Cost  $= C_b \times ILF(I)$ 

• The layer loss cost then changes to:

$$C_L = \text{Layer Loss Cost} = C_I \times \left(\frac{ILF(L+D) - ILF(D)}{ILF(I)}\right)$$

When deriving ILFs few problems may be faced. These are lack of volume of data, unavailability of information on large loss, losses adjusted for trends, claims might not be closed. ILFs may be derived using methodology devised by ISO in the US.

 $\hat{S(u_n)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{CSP(i)} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{Nu_i}{NI_i}$ 

**Considerations in using ILFs** When using ILFs, following considerations to be made:

- 1. Treatment of expenses
- 2. Claims inflation
- 3. Treaties on cession basis

The survival function is given by:

Deriving ILFs

**Empirical Survival Function** 

**Curves used in Practice** 

Some curves used in practice by reinsurers are:

- 1. Curve fitting
- 2. Riebesell curves
- 3. Market curves

Advantages & Disadvantages of Loss Curves

<u>Advantages</u> – Simple to implement, easy to explain, loss cost internally consistent, use with less data available

 $\underline{\text{Disadvantages}} - \text{Application may be difficult, sensitive to curve chosen, market}$  wide data collection

#### GENERALIZED LINEAR MODELS

Multiple Linear Regression

A multiple linear regression model with k parameters representing the relationship between response variable  $Y_i$  and independent variables  $X_i k$ , takes the form:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j f_j(X_{ij}) + \varepsilon_i$$

where

- $\beta_i$  is the *j*th parameter value
- $\varepsilon_i$  are error terms

The parameters of the model can be estimated using method of maximum likelihood estimates.

**Exponential Family** 

The exponential family is a set of distributions whose PDF can be written in the form:

$$f(y; \theta, \varphi) = \exp\left(\frac{y\theta - b(\theta)}{a(\varphi)} + c(y, \varphi)\right)$$

where

- $a(\varphi), b(\theta)$  and  $c(y, \varphi)$  are specific functions depending on the distribution.
- $\theta$  is the canonical and  $\varphi$  is the scale parameter.

The mean and variance of Y are given by:

$$\mu = E[Y] = b'(\theta) = \mu(\theta_i),$$
  
Var[Y] =  $a(\varphi)b''(\theta).$ 

The variance function is defined as:

$$V[\mu] = b''(\theta)$$

**Tweedie Distribution** 

Pure premium data is challenging to model due to a spike at zero and a wide range of positive values. The Tweedie distribution addresses this by allowing a variance function proportional to  $^{p}$ , accommodating both zero-inflation and claim variability.

**Generalised Linear Models** 

The multiple linear regression generalizes into the following GLM structure:

$$Y_i = g^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k X_{ij} \beta_j + \xi \right) + \varepsilon_i$$

where

- $\varepsilon_i$  is the error term
- $\xi$  is an offset or known effects

The important components of a GLM are:

Distribution of response variable –  $Y_i$  has distribution from exponential family.

Linear Predictor – Linear predictor,  $\eta$  is a function of co-variates given by:

$$\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{k} X_{ij}\beta_j + \xi$$

<u>Link Function</u> – Connects  $\eta$  with  $\mu$ . The relationship is:

$$\mu = g^{-1}(\eta)$$

Estimating Parameters of a GLM

Applications of GLM

in Insurance Pricing

Deviance

If the distribution of response variables is Normal Distribution and the link function used is identity link, the parameters may be estimated using the equation:

$$\beta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

If other distributions are used, other methods are used.

GLMs can be used in general insurance pricing to predict the claim frequency, claim size or loss ratios.

 $\frac{\text{Response Variable} - \text{ could be claim frequency, average claim amount or loss ratios}{\text{for a class of business.}}$ 

<u>Independent Variables</u> – could be the rating factors (Categorical and Numerical) that affect cost of claims depending on the class of business.

<u>Interaction Term</u> – An interaction term improves the model by capturing the combined effect of multiple factors, adding predictive value beyond individual factors.

Let d be each observation's contribution to the deviance defined by:

$$d(Y_i;\mu_i) = 2\omega_i \int_{i=1}^{Y_i} \frac{Y_i-\zeta}{V(\zeta)} d\zeta$$

The total deviance is therefore defined as:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n d(Y_i; \mu_i)$$

Scaled deviance is the ratio of deviance to the scale parameter, defined as:

$$D^* = \frac{D}{\varphi}$$

Analysis of Significance of Factors - Statistical Important statistical tests are:

Chi-Squared Test – Two nested models may be compared using the test:

$$D_1^* - D_2^* \sim \chi^2_{df_1 - df_2}$$

 $\underline{\text{F-Statistics}}$  – If scale parameter is not available, this test will test:

$$rac{D_1 - D_2}{(df_1 - df_2)rac{D_2}{df_2}} \sim F_{df_1 - df_2, df_2}$$

<u>Akaike Information Criteria</u> – The lower AIC, better the model

Graphical methods used to analyse significance of factors include:

Factors - Graphical

Analysis of Significance of

Residuals

- Hessian Matrix
- Comparison with expectations
- Comparison over time
- Consistency check with other factors

Residuals show how the fitted values differ from actual observations.

<u>Deviance Residuals</u> – Deviance residuals is defined as:

$$r_i^D = sign(Y_i - \mu_i)\sqrt{d(Y_i; \mu_i)}$$

www.ACTEXLearning.com Need Help? Email support@actexlearning.com Copyright © ArchiMedia Advantage Inc. All Rights Reserved

Pearson Residuals - Pearson residual is defined as:

$$r_i^p = \frac{Y_i - \mu_i}{\sqrt{\frac{\varphi V(\mu_i)(1 - h_{ii})}{\omega_i}}} = \frac{Y_i - E[Y_i]}{SD[Y_i]\sqrt{1 - h_{ii}}}$$

where  $h_{ii}$  is called the leverage and is the *i*th diagonal element of the hat matrix:

$$H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$$

The value of Pearson residual ranges from 0 to 1. A value close to 1 means that the observation heavily influences the prediction, make the residual error small.

The residual patterns of models of average costs, frequency, etc. may be plotted. A

**Residual Plots** 

**Cook's Distance** 

• are symmetrical about x-axis

• have an average residual of zero

good model should produce residuals that:

• are fairly constant across the width of fitted values

Cook's distance for the ith data point is defined as:

$$c_i^p = \frac{h_{ii}}{(1 - h_{ii})(\sum h_{ii})} \times (r_i^p)^2$$

Complete & Marginal Interactions

Aliasing

Interactions may be expressed in two ways:

- Complete Interactions This considers a single factor representing every combination of the two factors.
- Marginal Interactions This considers single factor effects of the two factors and the additional effect of an interaction term over and above the single factor effects.

Aliasing occurs due to linear dependency among the observed independent variables  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_P.$ 

- Intrinsic Aliasing This occurs due to inherent dependencies in the definition of covariates. This is commonly observed when categorical covariates are used.
- Extrinsic Aliasing This occurs when covariates are dependent due to data characteristics rather than inherent properties. It happens when one factor is perfectly correlated with another.
- Near Aliasing This occurs when two or more factors contains levels that are almost, but not quite, perfectly correlated.

**Parameter Smoothing** Parameter smoothing is the process of adjusting parameter estimates to reduce volatility, prevent overfitting and ensure a more stable model. There are four methods to factor simplification:

- group and summarize data prior to loading
- grouping in modelling package
- curve fitting
- piecewise curve fitting

Offsetting

Offsets are used to fix the relativities of a factor to a set of values that would differ from naturally fitted values. For example, to fit NCD in GLMs.

### MULTIVARIATE MODELLING

Information on Proposal A motor insurer would seek the following information when preparing a quote: Form - Motor Insurance Information on policy & coverage: Details of each driver: • Type of cover • Driver experience • Payment frequency • Driver restrictions • Voluntary excess • Age & Relationship Details of proposer: Details of vehicle: • Age & Gender • Registration Number • Marital Status • Make/Model Occupation • Parking Location Risk Factors – Some risk factors used in motor insurance pricing are: Motor Insurance **Environment**: **Drivers**: • Geography where the vehicle is • Driving style, experience, level of used skill, powers of observation, • Type of road risk attitude, ability to • Time of the day and pedestrian risk predict road hazards • Natural hazards Vehicle: Exposure: • Value of vehicle/repair costs • Amount of driving (miles/minute) if damaged • Safety features available to Third Parties: protect passengers & theft • Other people in the vicinity of the • Make, model, speed, size insured Information on Proposal An insurer would seek the following information at point-of-sale: Form – Home Insurance House Details: Policy: • Date on risk • Year of purchase • Number of adults • Type of property • Number of claims • Building status • Type of cover Construction type • Postcode • Accidental damage cover • Excess Ownership • Contents value **Proposer:** • Flood/subsidence • Age, gender, marital status Lock types • Smoker/non-smoker • Employment status **External Data** Information on Proposer: Information on insured asset: • Previous insurer claims experience • Cross-product holdings example ABI in UK • Customer lifetime value models • Customer behavior models thority • Credit score Additional asset data Information on Location: • Socio-economic data of the region

- Soil type data for subsidence
- Flood data, theft survey data
- Census & Valuation data

- Data from insurers trade body, for
- Data from motor registration au-
- Data from inter-industry agreement to share claims and underwriting information, for example, CUE in UK

Proxy Rating Factors	Due to subject quantify risk. 1. The ex 2. Wheth 3. Wheth 4. Degree	the effective The effective tent to which er the proxy er the factor of <b>overlap</b> is thing helps by	of questions of eness of such a factor direct is <b>verifiable</b> has a <b>clear</b> is <b>between pro</b>	on proposal for proxy factor thy <b>reflects</b> a <b>fact</b> known influence on oxy and oth	orm, we need depends on an actual risk to the propose pricing er existing r	proxy factors to c er <b>·isk factors</b> oring values re-
Spatial Shiothing	ducing noise	and improvin	g credibility.	dedictions ba	set on neighb	oring values, ie-
	Distance-based Smoothing:					
	<ul> <li>Considers information nearby location codes based on distance</li> <li>More weight to closer location codes and less to farther ones</li> <li>Applies regardless of urban/rural status or natural barriers</li> <li>Useful for weather related perils</li> <li>Simple to implement without any distributional accumptions</li> </ul>					
	Adjacency-ba	sed Smoothir	ng:			
	• C • C • Ii • A • H	Considers info Complex proce accorporates d accounts for n Iandles rural	rmation about ess since each istributional atural or art and urban di	at directly nei a code is influ assumptions ificial bounda fferences well	ghboring loca enced by its n ries like rivers	tion codes eighbors
Forms of Model	For different model forms like claim frequency, claim severity, propensity, etc. we use different distributions, link functions, scale parameters, etc. A summary of this is as follows:					
	Model	Error Distribu- tion	Link Function	Scale Parame- ter	Variance Function	$\omega_i$
	Claim Frequency	Poisson	ln(y)	1	μ	Exposure
	Claim Numbers	Poisson	ln(y)	1	μ	1
	Claim Severity	Gamma	ln(y)	Estimated	$\mu^2$	Claim Numbers
	Total Claims Cost	Tweedie	ln(y)	Estimated	$\mu^{1.5}$	Exposure
	Propensity	Binomial	$\ln\left(\frac{y}{1-y}\right)$	1	$\mu(1-\mu)$	1
Initial Analyses	Prior to mult • One-wa • Two-wa	ivariate mode ay analyses ay analyses	elling, initial	analyses are j • Corr • Disti	performed. The elation analys ribution analy	uis includes: es ses
One-way Analyses	One-way anal	lyses are perfe	ormed for fol	lowing reason	IS:	
	<ol> <li>Expose</li> <li>Help to</li> <li>Provid</li> </ol>	ure should be b identify leve e preliminary	well distribu els in a factor indication o	ted among each that have loof the impact of	ach factor leve w or no expos of particular v	l. ure. ariable
Two-way Analyses	Two-way ana between cova between varia	lyses key stat riates. This ıbles.	istics across is particular	factor combin rly helpful w	nations to ider hen there is s	tify interactions some correlation

ACTEX Learning	SP8 Summary Sheet	30
Correlation Analyses	An understanding of correlation between variables is helpful when interpre- results of a GLM. For categorical factors, Carmer's V statistic is used.	eting the
Distribution Analyses	Distribution analysis involves examining how response distribution varies as tor levels, detect anomalies like large claims and identify biases in average	cross fac- reserves.
Claim Types	Different claim types must be modelled separately because they may be by different rating factors in different ways. This also helps in modelling having different coverages.	affected products
Model Combining	When modelling, we model frequency severity separately. We also model claims type separately. However, all of it needs to be combined to find the cost of claim.	different ultimate
Model Validation	Models need to be validated by testing on "out-of-sample" data. Anothe do this exercise is to plot a graph of predicted values and actual values out of sample data to analyze the differences.	r way to from the
Lift Curve	A lift curve helps assess a model's accuracy by ranking policies (in order of experience according to model being tested) based on predicted claims and them into equal bands. The actual claims for each group are then plotted. A curve means the model better separates high and low risks, making it more This also helps compare different models.	expected grouping A steeper effective.
Gains Curve	Gains curve is also a way to validate a model. In this method, data is rank high to low based on predicted values. The chart then compares the cu- predicted and actual values. The <b>Gini coefficient</b> measures the model' represents the area between the model curve and the diagonal, showing how model distinguishes risk levels. Higher the Gini coefficient, the more the p- model.	ked from mulative s lift—it v well the redictive
Implementing Rates	Before the theoretical premium rates from the model are implemented, the to be compared with <b>current rates and competitors rates</b> .	hey need

#### **CREDIBILITY THEORY**

Credibility Theory Credibility theory can be used to set premium rates by considering both actual experience and external information. The basic formula for credibility-weighted estimate is:

**Estimate** =  $Z \times ($ **Observation** $) + (1 - Z) \times ($ **Other Information**)

This method involves considering the quantity of data needed before we can assign 100% credibility to observed data. This is also known as "full credibility criterion." "Partial Credibility" is when we have less experience data than is needed

Classical Credibility Theory

Bayesian Credibility

for achieving full credibility. The 'Bayesian' credibility,  $Z_B$ , is based on minimizing mean square error, and is

where

given by:

$$Z_B = \frac{n}{n+k}$$
$$E[s^2(\theta)]$$

$$k = \frac{E[s(\theta)]}{\operatorname{var}(m(\theta))}$$

Classical vs Bayesian Credibility

Standards for Full Credibility

Both methods may produce same results if the full credibility standard for classical credibility  $n_N$  is about 7 to 8 times larger than Bayesian credibility factor k. Bayesian credibility never reaches Z = 1. Both the models are affective at improving stability and accuracy of estimates.

If the objective is to be within a proportion of  $\pm k$  of the mean,  $\mu$ , with the probability of at least P, then for  $\Phi(y) = \frac{1+P}{2}$ , standards for full credibility are:

Measure	Standards for Full Credibility
Frequency (Poisson)	$n_N \ge \frac{y^2}{k^2}$
Frequency (General)	$n_N \ge \frac{y^2}{k^2} \left(\frac{\sigma_N^2}{\mu_N}\right)$
Severity	$n_X \ge \frac{y^2}{k^2} \left(\frac{\sigma_X}{\mu_X}\right)^2$
Aggregate Losses (Poisson)	$n_S \ge n_N + n_X$
Aggregate Losses (General)	$n_S \ge \left(\frac{y}{k}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\sigma_N^2}{\mu_N} + \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\mu_X^2}\right)$

**Partial Credibility** 

**Square Root Rule** – If n is the expected number of claims for volume of data, and  $n_N$  is standard for full credibility. The partial credibility is:

$$Z = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n_N}}$$

Buhlmann-Straub Credibility Model

Assumptions of

**Buhlmann-Straub** 

**Credibility Model** 

**Complement of Credibility** 

If:

•  $V_i$  = Volume Measure for risk i

•  $S_i$  = Insurance claims for risk i

•  $X_i = \text{claims ratio} = \frac{S_i}{V_i}$  for risk *i* 

The model assumes existence of a latent parameter  $\theta_i$  such that:

$$E[X_{ik} \mid \theta_i] = \mu(\theta_i),$$
  
Var $[X_{ik} \mid \theta_i] = \frac{\sigma^2(\theta_i)}{V_{ik}}.$ 

Benchmark claims ratio is:  $\beta = E[\mu(\theta_i)]$ 

Expected variance of observed claims ratio per unit of V is:  $\phi = E[\sigma^2(\theta_i)]$ Variance of long-run claims ratio for all risks is:  $\lambda = \operatorname{var}[\mu(\theta_i)]$ The credibility-based claims ratio is:  $C = z_i X_i + (1 - z_i)\beta$  where  $z_i = \frac{V_i}{V_i + \frac{\phi}{\lambda}}$ 

Following are the assumptions of Buhlmann-Straub credibility model are:

- 1.  $V_i$  and  $X_i$  are based on volume measures  $V_{ik}$  and claims ratio  $X_{ik}$  for risk i across years k
- 2. *i*th risk is described by pair,  $(\theta_i, (X_{ik})_{k\geq 1})$  where  $(X_{ik})_{k\geq 1}$  is sequence of claims ratio observed for risk *i*
- 3. Pairs  $(\theta_i, (X_{ik})_{k\geq 1})$  are mutually independent
- 4.  $\theta_i$  are independent and identically distributed
- 5. Conditionally on  $\theta_i$ ,  $X_{ik}$  are independent

An actuary must consider the following issues when choosing the complement:

• Competitive market issues

• Regulatory issues

- Statistical issues
- Practical issues

31

#### Desirable Qualities of Complement of Credibility

#### Practical Issues when using Credibility Theory

#### The desirable qualities of the complement of credibility are:

- accuracy as predictor of next year mean loss costs
- unbiasedness as predictor if next years mean loss costs
- independence from the base statistic
- availability of data
- ease of computation
- relationship to loss costs

Issues to consider when using credibility theory are:

- 1. simplicity
- 2. visibility imposing a maximum swing, or self-rating
- 3. goodness of fit (accuracy v/s simplicity)
- 4. level of grouping versus accuracy
- 5. source of data
- 6. stability of data
- 7. use of partial premiums
- 8. choice of complement of credibility
- 9. judgement when considering how to allow for large claims

#### **REINSURANCE PRICING**

Similarity – Direct and Reinsurance Pricing	The underlying principles of deriving the risk premium and office premium is same for reinsurance business as for direct business. The office premium would be esti- mated after allowing for: <u>Expenses</u> – A reinsurer would load for its own expenses using same techniques as an insurer. Profit/ROE – As insurers, reinsurer would also build a profit loading.
	Brokerage and Commission – For Quota Shares, reinsurance premiums are higher so the brokerage is lower (in the range of 1%-2%).
Differences – Direct and Reinsurance Pricing	<ul> <li>The differences between direct and reinsurance pricing are:</li> <li>1. volume and data available will be different</li> <li>2. there are very few standard contracts</li> <li>3. individual nature of pricing exercise</li> <li>4. reinsurance pricing may be stochastic</li> <li>5. pricing depends on whether the reinsurer is required to quote</li> <li>6. cedant is as knowledgeable as the reinsurer which is not the case between insurer and policyholder in direct insurance</li> <li>7. exact pricing approach also differs materially by line of business</li> </ul>
Proprietary Catastrophe Models	<ul> <li>Proprietary CAT models like RMS, AIR and EQECAT are used to price property cat business. When comparing models, it is important to consider</li> <li>Different models are better suited for different perils</li> <li>Different models use different assumptions</li> <li>Input data needs vary by model which influences reliability</li> <li>The output from different models may differ</li> </ul>
Occurrence Exceedance Probability	Probability that largest individual event loss in a year exceeds a particular threshold.
Aggregate Exceedance Probability	Probability that aggregate losses from all risk events in a year exceeds a particular threshold.

Non-Proportional Reinsurance In exposure rating, reinsurers would rely on benchmark to represent the market

Pricing – Exposure Rating	severity distribution. Suppose an excess of loss reinsurance treaty layer $LR$ xs $ER$ . The 100% limit is $L$ and policy excess is $E$ . Then, the proportion of premium to be allocated to the given layer is given by:
	$\mathbf{Proportion} = \frac{ILF(LR + ER) - ILF(ER)}{ILF(L + E) - ILF(E)}$
	The above formula may need adjustments for:
	<ul> <li>When reinsurance retention is below bottom of the cover on risk, ILF(ER) is replaced with ILF(E)</li> <li>When top of reinsured layer is beyond the top of the cover on risk, ILF(ER+LR) becomes ILF(E+L)</li> <li>When reinsurance layer finishes below risk (0% reinsured)</li> </ul>
	• When reinsurance layer starts above cover on risk (0% reinsured)
	Practical considerations in exposure rating –
	<ol> <li>Reinsurers might have limited historical loss data</li> <li>Pricing of high excess layers reinsurance is derived by minimum rate re- quirement</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>In some cases, cedant does not provide individual risk information</li> <li>When this happens, reinsurer needs to make a judgement on where in the interval the limit actually lies.</li> </ol>
Non-Proportional Reinsurance	We may use the following approaches for experience rating:
Pricing – Experience Rating	<ol> <li>Burning cost method</li> <li>Frequency-severity method</li> </ol>
Burning Cost Approach	The common steps involved in pricing a non-proportional reinsurance treaty using burning cost approach are:
	<ol> <li>Reinsurer first applies trends to historical loss data.</li> <li>The format of this data depends on type of cover (LOD/RAD)</li> <li>The loss data from insurer must be from ground-up and uncapped.</li> <li>Trends would be applied to past paid claims and case reserves.</li> <li>Then, reinsurance terms would be applied to trended losses</li> <li>Trended losses to be used to fit statistical methods to find ultimate</li> <li>If data is limited, benchmark data is used</li> <li>Now, consider relevant exposure measure i.e., premium/SI</li> <li>Historical premiums to be adjusted to find 'as-if' premiums</li> <li>Exposure measure also needs to be adjusted for inflation</li> <li>We bring losses and exposure on common level</li> <li>Estimate loss costs by averaging losses to layer for all years or estimating lost cost rate for all years and then averaging them.</li> <li>We then need to estimate any trends in loss cost</li> <li>Final loss cost rate to be determined with underwriters</li> </ol>
Frequency Severity Approach	This method involves the following steps:
	<ol> <li>Losses are adjusted for trends</li> <li>Development for open claims in recent years to be considered</li> <li>Applying aggregate development factors to individual claims might understate variability</li> <li>IBNER to be estimated for open, non-settled claims</li> <li>Large loss count development may be used to estimate IBNR</li> <li>Frequency &amp; Severity distributions to be combined</li> </ol>

# 

# SP8 Summary Sheet

Non-Proportional ReinsuranceReporting threshold for large loss dataPricingChoice of inflation rates			
Pricing     • Choice of inflation rates	• Reporting threshold for large loss data		
• Treatment of shock losses			
• Discounting of future loss costs			
• Changes in cedant business mix			
<b>Proportional</b> In proportional reinsurance pricing, the task is not to find premium rate, but r	ather		
Reinsurance Pricing to:			
• Assess likely overall loss ratio			
• Determine the level of ceding/ profit commission that may be offer			
Assessment of Overall The steps involved in assessing overall loss ratio include:			
Loss Ratio 1. Collecting Data			
2. Projecting ultimate claims for each year and calculate loss ratios			
3. Trends may be applied to loss ratios to reflect for upcoming period			
4. An estimate of overall loss ratio is made based on various methods			
<b>Determining level of</b> If the ceding commission is flat and there is no profit commission, the rein would check if	surer		
$100 - \mathrm{loss} \; \mathrm{ratio}\% - \mathrm{ceding} \; \mathrm{commission}\%$			
Leaves enough for profits and other expenses of the reinsurer. When the	is a		
sliding scale ceding commission or a profit commission with flat ceding commi	ssion.		
loss ratio distribution would be needed to estimate the reinsurer's financial po	sition		
distribution.			
Surplus Share	ovor		
<b>Beinsurance Pricing</b> an important complication is that the loss ratio or claims experience mix	nt be		
different for insurer and reinsurer. Reinsurer's experience is dependent on th	e way		
in which large losses are distributed. Risks with higher limits, and therefore l	igher		
	0		
cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her	, the		
cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also	e, the true.		
cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about:	e, the true.		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about:</li> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> </ul>	e, the true. nce		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about:</li> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount ceder</li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about: <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede</li> </ul> </li> <li>The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of c</li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d ssion		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about: <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of c rates.</li> </ul></li></ul>	e, the true. ence d ssion		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about: <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of c rates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stop Loss Reinsurance Pricing Stop Loss reinsurance is priced in a similar way to an excess of loss reinsurance</li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d ssion e, ex-		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about: <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of c rates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stop Loss Reinsurance Pricing Stop Loss reinsurance is priced in a similar way to an excess of loss reinsurance cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than more cept loss ratios are used as attachment points rather than more cept loss restrictions are used as attachment points rather than more cept loss restrictions are used as attachment points rather than more cept loss restrictions are used as attachment points rather than more cept loss restrictions are used as attachment points rather than more cept loss restrictions are used as attachment points rather than more cept loss restrictions are used as attachment points rather than more cept loss restric</li></ul>	e, the true. ence d ssion e, ex- etary		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about: <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stop Loss Reinsurance Pricing Stop Loss reinsurance is priced in a similar way to an excess of loss reinsurance cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than mor amounts.</li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d ssion e, ex- etary		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about:         <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede</li> <li>The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of c rates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stop Loss Reinsurance Pricing Stop Loss reinsurance is priced in a similar way to an excess of loss reinsurance cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than mor amounts.</li> <li>Pricing other features of While pricing a reinsurance treaty certain complicated and unusual features</li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d ession e, ex- etary		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about:         <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of c rates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stop Loss Reinsurance Pricing Stop Loss reinsurance is priced in a similar way to an excess of loss reinsurance cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than mor amounts.</li> <li>Pricing other features of Reinsurance Contract</li> <li>While pricing a reinsurance treaty, certain complicated and unusual features treaty need to be adjusted for. Examples of few are:</li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d ession e, ex- etary of the		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about:         <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of c rates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stop Loss Reinsurance Pricing Stop Loss reinsurance is priced in a similar way to an excess of loss reinsurance cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than mor amounts.</li> <li>Pricing other features of Reinsurance Contract</li> <li>While pricing a reinsurance treaty, certain complicated and unusual features treaty need to be adjusted for. Examples of few are:         <ul> <li>Reinstatement Premiums</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d ession e, ex- etary of the		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about:         <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experii</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of crates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stop Loss Reinsurance Pricing Stop Loss reinsurance is priced in a similar way to an excess of loss reinsurance cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than mor amounts.</li> <li>Pricing other features of Reinsurance Contract</li> <li>While pricing a reinsurance treaty, certain complicated and unusual features treaty need to be adjusted for. Examples of few are:             <ul> <li>Reinstatement Premiums</li> <li>Aggregate deductibles</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d ession e, ex- etary of the		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about:         <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of c rates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stop Loss Reinsurance Pricing Stop Loss reinsurance is priced in a similar way to an excess of loss reinsurance cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than mor amounts.</li> <li>Pricing other features of Reinsurance Contract</li> <li>While pricing a reinsurance treaty, certain complicated and unusual features treaty need to be adjusted for. Examples of few are:             <ul> <li>Reinstatement Premiums</li> <li>Aggregate deductibles</li> <li>Indexation clauses</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d ession e, ex- etary of the		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about:         <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede</li> <li>The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of crates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stop Loss Reinsurance Pricing Stop Loss reinsurance is priced in a similar way to an excess of loss reinsurance cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than mor amounts.</li> <li>Pricing other features of Reinsurance Contract</li> <li>While pricing a reinsurance treaty, certain complicated and unusual features treaty need to be adjusted for. Examples of few are:         <ul> <li>Reinstatement Premiums</li> <li>Aggregate deductibles</li> <li>Indexation clauses</li> <li>Swing Rates</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d ession e, ex- etary of the		
<ul> <li>cession rates will have much larger losses than those with lower cession. Her ceded loss ratio is higher than original loss ratio. The opposite of this is also Reinsurer therefore needs to be confident about:         <ul> <li>Large limit risks not having disproportionately heavy large loss experi</li> <li>Contracts terms restricting choices a cedant makes about amount cede The risk data therefore needs to be used to assess the likely distribution of c rates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Stop Loss Reinsurance Pricing Stop Loss reinsurance is priced in a similar way to an excess of loss reinsurance cept loss ratios are used as attachment and detachment points rather than mor amounts.</li> <li>Pricing other features of Reinsurance Contract</li> <li>While pricing a reinsurance treaty, certain complicated and unusual features treaty need to be adjusted for. Examples of few are:             <ul> <li>Reinstatement Premiums</li> <li>Aggregate deductibles</li> <li>Indexation clauses</li> <li>Swing Rates</li> <li>Loss Ratio Caps</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	e, the true. ence d ession e, ex- etary of the		

## CATASTROPHE MODELS

Catastrophe v/s Traditional Models	Traditional pricing methods like Frequency-Severity and Burning Cost method work well for high-frequency low severity risks but not for <b>low-frequency high-severity</b> <b>risks</b> . The reason being that past loss data may be too limited to reflect the true risk, because the <b>return period of losses is much longer</b> than the observation period. CAT models estimate losses based on insured's geographical locations.		
Structure of Catastrophe Models	All catastrophe models follow a same generic structure, consisting of following in- terlinked modules:		
	$\underline{\text{Event Module}}$ – This module is a database of stochastic events.		
	<u>Hazard Module</u> – This module consists of hazard of each event.		
	$\underline{ \mbox{Inventory Module} - \mbox{This module consists of all details regarding insured's risks and associated risk factors.}$		
	$\frac{\text{Vulnerability Module} - \text{This module measures how much damage each insured item}{\text{is likely to sustain a peril.}}$		
	$\frac{\rm Financial \ Analysis \ Module}{\rm excess, \ coverage \ terms, \ etc.} - \ This \ module \ consists \ of \ policy \ conditions \ like \ limits,$		
Key Perils Modelled	Common perils modelled in catastrophe models are:		
	• Hurricane		
	• Earthquake		
	Iornadoes     Hailstorms		
	Winterstorms		
	• Floods		

- Diseases
- Non-natural perils